

# **Proposed Specific Regulatory Level Chemical Causing Cancer: Glyphosate**

Published Name:  
Megan Kaun

Post date:  
06/06/2017 - 10:39am

Uploaded Comment:  
[https://oehha.ca.gov/sites/default/files/media/filefield\\_paths/megan\\_kaun\\_6.5.17.pdf](https://oehha.ca.gov/sites/default/files/media/filefield_paths/megan_kaun_6.5.17.pdf)

**From:** [Megan Kaun](#)  
**To:** [Barajas-Ochoa\\_Esther@OEHHA](mailto:Barajas-Ochoa_Esther@OEHHA)  
**Subject:** Glyphosate NSRL  
**Date:** Monday, June 05, 2017 5:04:59 PM

---

Dear Mrs. Barajas-Ochoa,

I do not think a NSRL level for glyphosate is appropriate. Glyphosate accumulates in the body and there is no safe level.

If an NSRL is adopted, I would request that it is determined using California state regulations, which would make it much lower than the proposed 1,100 micrograms/day. Until a comprehensive study is done showing real life exposure levels, regulatory authorities should use a NSRL well below 0.0001 micrograms/day to keep California residents safe (Thongprakaisang et al, 2013). This is the level at which breast cancer has been detected. This is especially important for our children, and particularly on the minds of my neighbors in Sonoma County, where childhood cancer rates have risen steadily over the last 20 years to be 4th highest in the State.

I urge the State to put people and the environment first, and not the desires of a multinational corporation. Glyphosate is not benign and our food, air, soil, and water must be protected.

Best regards,

--

Megan Kaun  
Santa Rosa, CA

